

CS 110

Computer Architecture

Lecture 4: *Intro to Assembly Language, RISC-V Intro*

Instructors:

Sören Schwertfeger & Chundong Wang

<https://robotics.shanghaitech.edu.cn/courses/ca/20s/>

School of Information Science and Technology SIST

ShanghaiTech University

Slides based on UC Berkley's CS61C

History

52 years ago: Apollo Guidance Computer programmed in Assembly

30x30x30cm, 32 kg.
10,000 lines of machine
code manually entered –
tons of easter eggs!

abcnews.go.com/Technology/apollo-11s-source-code-tons-easter-eggs-including/story?id=40515222




Margaret Hamilton with the code she wrote.

- Lead Apollo flight software designer.
- Came up with the idea of naming the discipline, "software engineering"
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Margaret_Hamilton_%28scientist%29

179	TC	BANKCALL	# TEMPORARY, I HOPE HOPE HOPE
180	CADR	STOPRATE	# TEMPORARY, I HOPE HOPE HOPE
181	TC	DOWNFLAG	# PERMIT X-AXIS OVERRIDE

Levels of Representation/Interpretation





```
temp = v[k];
v[k] = v[k+1];
v[k+1] = temp;
```

```
lw  xt0, 0(x2)
lw  xt1, 4(x2)
sw  xt1, 0(x2)
sw  xt0, 4(x2)
```

Anything can be represented as a *number*, i.e., data or instructions

```
0000 1001 1100 0110 1010 1111 0101 1000
1010 1111 0101 1000 0000 1001 1100 0110
1100 0110 1010 1111 0101 1000 0000 1001
0101 1000 0000 1001 1100 0110 1010 1111
```



Assembly Language

- Basic job of a CPU: execute lots of *instructions*.
- Instructions are the primitive operations that the CPU may execute.
- Different CPUs implement different sets of instructions. The set of instructions a particular CPU implements is an *Instruction Set Architecture (ISA)*.
 - Examples: ARM, Intel x86, MIPS, RISC-V, IBM/Motorola PowerPC (old Mac), Intel IA64, ...


Instruction Set Architectures

- Early trend was to add more and more instructions to new CPUs to do elaborate operations
 - VAX architecture had an instruction to multiply polynomials!
- RISC philosophy (Cocke IBM, Patterson, Hennessy, 1980s)
 - Reduced Instruction Set Computing
 - Keep the instruction set small and simple, makes it easier to build fast hardware.
 - Let software do complicated operations by composing simpler ones.

- New open-source, license-free RISC ISA spec
 - Supported by growing shared software ecosystem
 - Appropriate for all levels of computing system, from microcontrollers to supercomputers
 - 32-bit, 64-bit, and 128-bit variants (we're using 32-bit in class, textbook uses 64-bit)
- RISC-V standard maintained by non-profit RISC-V Foundation

More than 435 RISC-V Members

across 33 Countries Around the World



RISC-V in China

- 33 Chinese members in the global RISC-V Foundation
- 500 attendees at the China RISC-V Forum in Nov 2019
- RISC-V International Open Source Laboratory (RIOS Laboratory) research at Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute (TBSI) June 2019
- Alibaba processor achieves 7.1 Coremark/MHz at a frequency of 2.5GHz on a 12nm process node, which is 40 percent more powerful than any RISC-V processor produced to date. – EE/Times July 2019
- GigaDevice launched world's first general-purpose microcontroller based on RISC-V for the IOT market. – EE Times 26 Aug 2019
- Huami's upcoming Huangshan 1S Processor in 7nm
Huami one of the top wearable manufacturers; August 27, 2019

Premier Members



成为资本 CHENGWEI CAPITAL



Institute of Software, Chinese Academy of Sciences



RISC-V International Open Source Laboratory Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute



Institute of Computing Technology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences





The
Programming
Foundation

UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

FreeBSD



University of Bristol



Why RISC-V in CS110?


- Why RISC-V instead of Intel 80x86?
 - RISC-V is simple, elegant. Don't want to get bogged down in gritty details.
- It is a very very clean RISC
 - No real additional "optimizations"
- Generally only one way to do any particular thing
- Only exception is two different atomic operation options: Load Reserved/Store Conditional Atomic swap/add/etc...

The image shows a page from the RISC-V Reference Data document. It is divided into two main sections: 'RISC-V INSTRUCTION SET' and 'CORE INSTRUCTION FORMATS'. The top section lists various instructions with their mnemonics, formats, and descriptions. The bottom section shows the bit-level layout of instructions, including fields for opcodes, registers, and immediates.


Assembly Variables: Registers

- Unlike HLL like C or Java, assembly cannot use variables
 - Why not? Keep Hardware Simple
- Assembly Operands are registers
 - Limited number of special locations built directly into the hardware
 - Operations can only be performed on these!
- Benefit: Since registers are directly in hardware, they are very fast
(faster than 1 ns - light travels 30cm in 1 ns!!!)

Registers, inside the Processor



Great Idea #3: Principle of Locality / Memory Hierarchy



Number of Registers

- Drawback: Since registers are in hardware, there is a predetermined number of them
 - Solution: Assembly code must be very carefully put together to efficiently use registers
- 32 registers in RISC-V
 - Why 32? **Smaller is faster, but too small is bad.**
- Each RISC-V register is 32 bits wide (in RV32 variant)
 - Groups of 32 bits called a word in RV32
 - P&H textbook uses 64-bit variant RV64 (doubleword)

RISC-V Registers

- Registers are numbered from 0 to 31
- Number references:
 - x0, x1, x2, ... x30, x31
- x0 : special: always holds value zero
=> only 31 registers to hold variable values
- Each register can be referred to by number or name
 - Cover names later

C, Java variables vs. registers

- In C (and most High Level Languages) variables declared first and given a type
 - Example:

```
int fahr, celsius;  
char a, b, c, d, e;
```
- Each variable can ONLY represent a value of the type it was declared as (cannot mix and match *int* and *char* variables).
- In Assembly Language, registers have no type; **operation** determines how register contents are treated

Assembly Instructions

- In assembly language, each statement (called an Instruction), executes exactly one of a short list of simple commands
- Unlike in C (and most other High Level Languages), each line of assembly code contains at most 1 instruction
- Instructions are related to operations (=, +, -, *, /) in C or Java

Comments in Assembly

- Another way to make your code more readable: comments!
- Hash (#) is used for RISC-V comments
 - anything from hash mark to end of line is a comment and will be ignored
 - This is just like the C99 //
- Note: Different from C.
 - C comments have format `/* comment */`
so they can span many lines

RISC-V Addition and Subtraction (1/4)

- Syntax of Instructions:
 - One two, three, four **add x1, x2, x3**where:
 - One = operation by name
 - two = operand getting result (“destination”)
 - three = 1st operand for operation (“source1”)
 - four = 2nd operand for operation (“source2”)
- Syntax is rigid:
 - 1 operator, 3 operands
 - Why? Keep Hardware simple via regularity

Addition and Subtraction of Integers (2/4)

- Addition in Assembly
 - Example: `add x1, x2, x3` (in RISC-V)
 - Equivalent to: $a = b + c$ (in C)
 - where C variables \Leftrightarrow RISC-V registers are:
 $a \Leftrightarrow x1, b \Leftrightarrow x2, c \Leftrightarrow x3$
- Subtraction in Assembly
 - Example: `sub x3, x4, x5` (in RISC-V)
 - Equivalent to: $d = e - f$ (in C)
 - where C variables \Leftrightarrow RISC-V registers are:
 $d \Leftrightarrow x3, e \Leftrightarrow x4, f \Leftrightarrow x5$

Addition and Subtraction of Integers (3/4)

- How to do the following C statement?

```
a = b + c + d - e;
```

- Break into multiple instructions

```
add x10, x1, x2  # a_temp = b + c
```

```
add x10, x10, x3 # a_temp = a_temp + d
```

```
sub x10, x10, x4 # a = a_temp - e
```

- Notice: A single line of C may break up into several lines of RISC-V.
- Notice: Everything after the hash mark on each line is ignored (comments).

Addition and Subtraction of Integers (4/4)

- How do we do this?

$f = (g + h) - (i + j);$

- Use intermediate temporary register

```
add x5, x20, x21 # a_temp = g + h
```

```
add x6, x22, x23 # b_temp = i + j
```

```
sub x19, x5, x6 # f = (g + h) - (i + j)
```

Immediates

- Immediates are numerical constants.
- They appear often in code, so there are special instructions for them.
- Add Immediate:
 - `addi x3,x4,10` (in RISC-V)
 - `f = g + 10` (in C)
 - where RISC-V registers `x3, x4` are associated with C variables `f, g`
- Syntax similar to add instruction, except that last argument is a number instead of a register.

Immediates

- There is no Subtract Immediate in RISC-V: Why?
 - There are add and sub, but no addi counterpart
- Limit types of operations that can be done to absolute minimum
 - if an operation can be decomposed into a simpler operation, don't include it
 - addi ..., -X = subi ..., X => so no subi
 - `addi x3, x4, -10` (in RISC-V)
f = g - 10 (in C)
 - where RISC-V registers x3, x4 are associated with C variables f, g


Register Zero

- One particular immediate, the number zero (0), appears very often in code.
- So the register zero (`x0`) is 'hard-wired' to value 0; e.g.
 - `add x3, x4, x0` (in RISC-V)
 - `f = g` (in C)
 - where RISC-V registers `x3, x4` are associated with C variables `f, g`
- Defined in hardware, so an instruction
 - `add x0, x3, x4` will not do anything!

No-Op

- A No-op is an instruction that does nothing...
 - Why?
You may need to replace code later: No-ops can fill space, align data, and perform other options
- By **convention** RISC-V has a specific no-op instruction...
 - **add x0 x0 x0**
- Why?
 - Writes to x0 are always ignored...
RISC-V uses that a lot as we will see in the jump-and-link operations
 - Making a "standard" no-op improves the disassembler and can potentially improve the processor

Data Transfer: Load from and Store to memory



Memory Addresses are in Bytes

- Lots of data is smaller than 32 bits, but rarely smaller than 8 bits – works fine if everything is a multiple of 8 bits
- 8 bit chunk is called a *byte* (1 word = 4 bytes)
- Memory addresses are really in *bytes*, not words
- Word addresses are 4 bytes apart
 - Word address is same as address of lowest byte

Little Endian: Start with the small end (little end; Least significant byte of the word) ↓

...
15	14	13	<u>12</u>
11	10	9	<u>8</u>
7	6	5	<u>4</u>
3	2	1	<u>0</u>

bit: 31 24 23 16 15 8 7 0

Big Endian vs. Little Endian

Big-endian and little-endian from Jonathan Swift's *Gulliver's Travels*

- The order in which **BYTES** are stored in memory
- Bits always stored as usual. (E.g., **0xC2=0b 1100 0010**)

Consider the number 1025 as we normally write it:

BYTE3 BYTE2 BYTE1 BYTE0
00000000 00000000 00000100 00000001

Big Endian

ADDR3	ADDR2	ADDR1	ADDR0
BYTE0	BYTE1	BYTE2	BYTE3
00000001	00000100	00000000	00000000

Examples

Names in China (e.g. Schwertfeger, Sören)

Java Packages: (e.g. org.mypackage.HelloWorld)

Dates done correctly ISO 8601 YYYY-MM-DD
(e.g. 2020-03-22)

Eating Pizza crust first

Unix file structure (e.g., /usr/local/bin/python)

”Network Byte Order”: most network protocols

IBM z/Architecture; very old Macs

Little Endian

ADDR3	ADDR2	ADDR1	ADDR0
BYTE3	BYTE2	BYTE1	BYTE0
00000000	00000000	00000100	00000001

Examples

Names in the west (e.g. Sören Schwertfeger)

Internet names (e.g. sist.shanghaitech.edu.cn)

Dates written in England DD/MM/YYYY
(e.g. 22/03/2020)

Eating Pizza skinny part first (the normal way)

CANopen


Intel x86; RISC-V

bi-endian: ARM (runs mostly little endian), MIPS, IA-64, PowerPC

Example

Memory

Addr. dec	Addr. hex	8-bit Value
...
15	0x0F	0x77
14	0x0E	0x66
13	0x0D	0x55
12	0x0C	0x44
11	0x0B	0x33
10	0x0A	0x22
9	0x09	0x11
8	0x08	0x00
7	0x07	0xEF
6	0x06	0xCD
5	0x05	0xAB
4	0x04	0x89
3	0x03	0x67
2	0x02	0x45
1	0x01	0x23
0	0x00	0x01



Addresses (hex):

...
F	E	D	<u>C</u>
B	A	9	<u>8</u>
7	6	5	<u>4</u>
3	2	1	<u>0</u>

Little Endian

Word at address 0x0C: 0x 77 66 55 44

Word at address 0x08: 0x 33 22 11 00

Word at address 0x04: 0x EF CD AB 89

Word at address 0x00: 0x 67 45 23 01

...
77	66	55	44
33	22	11	00
EF	CD	AB	89
67	45	23	01

Addresses (hex):

...
<u>C</u>	D	E	F
<u>8</u>	9	A	B
<u>4</u>	5	6	7
<u>0</u>	1	2	3

Big Endian

Word at address 0x0C: 0x 44 55 66 77

Word at address 0x08: 0x 00 11 22 33

Word at address 0x04: 0x 89 AB CD EF

Word at address 0x00: 0x 01 23 45 67

...
44	55	66	77
00	11	22	33
89	AB	CD	EF
01	23	45	67

RISC-V: Little Endian

(E.g., $1025 = 0x401 = 0b\ 0100\ 0000\ 0001$)

ADDR3	ADDR2	ADDR1	ADDR0
BYTE3	BYTE2	BYTE1	BYTE0
00000000	00000000	00000100	00000001

- Hexadecimal number:

$0xFD34AB88$ ($4,248,087,432_{ten}$) \Rightarrow

- Byte 0: $0x88$ (136_{ten})
- Byte 1: $0xAB$ (171_{ten})
- Byte 2: $0x34$ (52_{ten})
- Byte 3: $0xFD$ (253_{ten})

Address:	64 address of word (e.g. int)			
Address:	67	66	54	64
Data:	0xFD	0x34	0xAB	0x88

- Little Endian: Starts with the little end of a word:
 - It starts with the smallest (least significant) Byte


Little Endian

Least significant byte in a word
(numbers are addresses) ↓

...
15	14	13	<u>12</u>
11	10	9	<u>8</u>
7	6	5	<u>4</u>
3	2	1	<u>0</u>

bit: 31 24 23 16 15 8 7 0

Great Idea #3: Principle of Locality / Memory Hierarchy



Speed of Registers vs. Memory

- Given that
 - Registers: 32 words (128 Bytes)
 - Memory: Billions of bytes (2 GB to 16 GB on laptop)
- and the RISC principle is...
 - Smaller is faster
- How much faster are registers than memory??
- About 100-500 times faster!
 - in terms of *latency* of one access

Load from Memory to Register

- C code

```
int  A[100];          /* A => x15 */
g = h + A[3];        /* h => x13 */
```



- Using Load Word (`lw`) in RISC-V:

```
lw  x10, 12(x15) # Reg x10 gets A[3]
add x11, x13, x10 # g = h + A[3]
```

Note: x15 – base register (pointer to A[0])
 12 – offset in bytes

Offset must be a constant known at assembly time

Store from Register to Memory

- C code

```
int  A[100];      /* A => x15 */
A[10] = h + A[4]; /* h => x13 */
```

- Using Store Word (sw) in RISC-V:

```
lw  x10, 16(x15)  # Temp reg x10 gets A[3]
add x10, x13, x10  # Temp reg x10 gets h + A[3]
sw  x10, 40(x15)  # A[10] = h + A[3]
```



Note: x15 – base register (pointer)
 16, 40 – offsets in bytes

Memory Alignment

- RISC-V does not *require* that integers be word aligned...
 - But it is very **very bad** if you don't make sure they are...
- Consequences of unaligned integers
 - Slowdown: The processor is allowed to be a lot slower when it happens
 - In fact, a RISC-V processor may natively only support aligned accesses, and do unaligned-access in *software*!
An unaligned load could take *hundreds of times longer*!
 - Lack of **atomicity**: The whole thing doesn't happen at once... can introduce lots of very subtle bugs
- So in *practice*, RISC-V requires integers to be aligned on 4- byte boundaries

Loading and Storing Bytes

- In addition to word data transfers (**lw**, **sw**), RISC-V has **byte** data transfers:
 - load byte: **lb**
 - store byte: **sb**
- Same format as **lw**, **sw**
- E.g., **lb x10, 3(x11)**
 - contents of memory location with address = sum of “3” + contents of register x11 is copied to the low byte position of register x10.

RISC-V also has “unsigned byte” loads (**lbu**) which zero extends to fill register. Why no unsigned store byte **sbu**?



Question! What's in **x12**?

```
addi x11, x0, 0x4F6
```

```
sw x11, 0(x5)
```

```
lb x12, 1(x5)
```

A:

0x0

B:

0x4

C:

0x6

D:

0xF

E:

0xFFFFFFFF

Question! What's in **x12**?

```
addi x11,x0,0x85BCF6  
sw x11,0(x5)  
lb x12,2(x5)
```

Actually:
invalid instruction:
immediate is too large;
details covered later

A:	0x8
B:	0x85
C:	0xC
D:	0xBC
E:	0xFFFFFFFF85
F:	0xFFFFFFFFF8
G:	0xFFFFFFFFFC
H:	0xFFFFFFFFBC

Question!

Which of the following is TRUE?

- A: `add x10, x11, 4(x12)` is valid in RV32
- B: can byte address 4GB of memory with an RV32 word
- C: `imm` must be multiple of 4 for `lw x10, imm(x10)` to be valid
- D: None of the above

“And in Conclusion...”

- In RISC-V Assembly Language:
 - Registers replace C variables
 - One instruction (simple operation) per line
 - Simpler is Better, Smaller is Faster
- In RV32, words are 32b
- RISC-V is Little Endian
- Instructions:
add, addi, sub, lw, sw, lb, lbu, sb
- Registers:
 - 32 registers, referred to as x0 – x31
 - Zero: x0